Management of defects of the jaw bone and consecutive implant placement is still a challenge in daily practice. Patient-specific titanium meshes are a promising tool to create optimal patient care. With this study, the surgical protocol was analyzed for feasibility and evaluated to identify risk factors concerning soft tissue healing according to a new classification for mesh exposure.

65 patients with 70 grafting procedures were included. The treatment of the defects based on a customized titanium mesh (Yxoss CBR® ReOSS, Filderstadt, Germany) and A® / ™ PRF. The meshes were installed by using a mixture of autogenous bone graft and Bio-Oss® (Geistlich, Wolhusen, Switzerland) particles in a 1:1 ratio. Implant placement (Camlog Screw Line®, Camlog, Wimsheim, Germany) was performed either simultaneously with mesh insertion or after a healing period of 4-6 months combined with the removal of the mesh (Fig.1 and 2).

Patients and augmentation sites were analyzed focussing on defect regions, defect and mesh sizes, healing difficulties and potential risk factors such as tobacco abuse, periodontitis, tissue phenotype ("A" = thin and fragile phenotype, "B" = thick phenotype), additional sinus floor augmentation procedures and diabetes mellitus. Exposures of the meshes and grafting outcome were analyzed according to a novel classification (Fig.3).

In 37.1% of cases, exposures (A-D) of the meshes were documented which were significantly associated with loss of grafted material (p<0.001) (Fig.4 and 5).

The new surgical protocol including patient-specific titanium meshes, A® and ™ PRF, resorbable membranes and bone grafting materials was proven to be a promising technique in complex bone and soft tissue reconstruction, even in cases of dehiscences. The present study applied a new exposure classification to describe soft tissue and grafting outcome. Potential risk factors associated with this protocol were tobacco abuse and mesh insertion simultaneous with sinus floor elevation procedures. A splitting of the surgical procedures in terms of sinus floor elevation is mandatory.

Discussion

In total, 37.1% exposures of the meshes occurred. According to classification, 13 meshes (18.6%) were Group "A", "B" was found in 7 cases (10%) and Group "C" consisted of 6 cases (8.6%). No exposure ("D") was seen in 44 cases (62.9%).

Tobacco abuse (p=0.032) and grafting procedures together with simultaneous sinus floor elevation techniques (p=0.001) were found to be risk factors for success of the graft. Other factors did not influence outcome. Implant placement was not possible in 2 cases only.

**Fig. 1:** Prosthetic backward planning and definition of augmentation volume

**Fig. 2:** Design example. The inner contour of the mesh represents the desired augmentation volume.

**Fig. 3:** Classification of mesh exposure:

(A) = Punctual exposure of the titanium mesh
(B) = One tooth width (premolar)
(C) = Complete exposure
(D) = No exposure

Subtypes revealed: 1. partial 2. complete loss (grafting necessary)

Subtypes revealed loss of augmentation material and infection parameters.